

**AGENDA**  
**COUNCIL OF Chairs**  
**September 22, 2010**  
**Corbett Conference Room**  
**Meeting Time: 10:00 – 12:00**

**1. Announcements**

- a. Enrollment Update – (handouts at meeting)

**2. Topic:** HOP Input (Scott Hagg, Peg Blake)

**Attachment:** None

**Background:** Enrollment Management is seeking input on a different model for HOP.

**Action:** Discuss and provide feedback on this model for HOP

**3. Topic:** Budget Update

**Attachment:** Base Budget Changes 2011/12 (attached), Base Budget Reduction Planning Estimate (attached), Division Roll 2010/11 (attached)

**Background:** The attachments show base budgets for the division and major budget units, such as the colleges and Library, for 2011/12 including changes from previous year budgets; how the division is doing on its budget reduction strategy and finally roll-forward funds by major unit and the division. What you can see is that over the last few years the division has had its budget reduced by about 9.5 million. We have plans through 2012/13 to clear about 6 million of that deficit. You should also note that this debt is carried centrally until clear plans are developed to reduce budgets in the major units. Until the division has a balanced budget it has to be funded each year on one-time carry-forward funds, either at the division or university level.

**Action:** Answer questions and help departments understand the budget and its implications for the division and major units.

**4. Topic:** Tenure-Track Faculty (Chair Request)

**Attachment:** 2008-10 FTEF (Fall Snapshot) (attached), TT Searches (handouts at meeting)

**Background:** The first attachment shows the percentages of tenure-track faculty and lecturers over the last three years. These are payroll numbers that include everyone getting a paycheck so they are not wholly accurate. For example, they double count faculty on sabbatical or buyout and those backfilling those positions. On the other hand, they do not include volunteers. The actual percentages of tenure-track faculty are probably a little higher than stated. The second attachment shows the number of tenure-track searches approved and filled over the last few years. For context, system data continue to show Humboldt, along with SLO, as having the highest percentage of tenure-track faculty in the system. The 2011-12 Almanac for the *Chronicle of Higher Education*, using data through 2009, puts the percentage of tenure-track faculty in public master's institutions at 50%.

**Action:** Discussion.

**5. Topic:** Other Issues of Concern for the Chairs

**Attachment:**

**Background:**

**Action:**