**NOTES**

**EMP-Subcommittee**

**September 24, 2012**

**Corbett Conference Room**

**Meeting Time: 1:30 – 3:30**

1. **Topic: Leaver Survey (Jacque)**

Peggy will send student data to IRP re: Unclaimed financial aid

IRP will add Leaver Survey questions to CQS and look at longitudinal data

1. **Topic: Probation Advising (Jená)**

Prevention vs. Probation – numbers indicated prevention is the best method of retention

IRP needs to track effectiveness of these new initiatives

IRP will get data to Jená on the limits in probation terms

1. **Topic: Retention section of the EMP**

Section 1b – look at number of units earned vs. attempted

Section 3a – incorporate a list of triggers, i.e. grades, financial aid, excess units, etc.

Section 3d – IRP is working with CNRS now re: impaction, discuss w/Steve departments wants vs need for impaction, get CAHSS & CPS in as well.

Sub-group will change “proposal” to “strategies to mitigate risk.”

Section 6b – Bob will discuss electronic signatures with Anna at their next regular meeting.

Bob will discuss student customer satisfaction at the next VP Meeting

1. **Topic: Identifying class standing**

Would/could penalize students who switch majors

What do we need to make this happen (tech)?

Priority registration isn’t being changed at this time, just academic standing.

Per Scott – if we change method of priority registration, Grace can set priorities in the system easily once they’re identified.

Must use DARs, can’t use major contracts

Bob will discuss with Senate Exec. and Jená will discuss with Clint before it’s sent to APC